My dear Lord,

I have recd. both Yrs. private letters of the 15th & 21st of last month. It was a satisfaction to me to [illegible] for a repetition of

100

C.O.

28. Sept: 1865.

Private

My dear Lord,

On Monday last I had an interview with the N.B. & N.S. delegates. They expressed themselves very reasonably on the delay of their Canadian Colleagues in coming to England making every allowance for the circumstances of their present position with regard to Confederation. At the same time they said — and I do not doubt the correctness of the assertion — that their own situation is an embarrassing one, and all the more from the

the appearance of neglect & Slight
both: they may seem to be exposed
in their own Colonies. It wd greatly
strengthen their hands in this view,
& remove this appearance if any one
of the Can: Delegs wd come out to
keep them comp[a]ny like the arrival of the
others, of course no business wd be
transacted, but appearances wd be
saved. I think this is worth Yr
consideration.

Lamirande's case is exciting much
attention here. You will see by a
despatch of today, that an applic: is
made to the Fr: Govt for delay. I hope
& have yr full report of all particulars
at

I have rec[d] both Yr private
letters of the 15th & 21st of last month.
It was a satisfaction to me to
[illegible] arrangements for a supply of

at an early day.

I continue to wait for Canadian
news with anxiety. I see in the papers
that there is supposed to be much
dissatisfaction with Col: McDougall and
the Volunteer arrangements. In one paper
indeed it is asserted that several corps
have disbanded themselves in consequence
of this discontent: but I trust that there
is no truth in this.

The mistake wh: occurred in the
Tel: message is a very singular one
for its cause in the addition of a word.
My suspicions were even aroused by the
strk circumstances of the case, but I
have as yet learned nothing on this side
of the atlantic. Yrs C.

T. Sept=29.

Ld: Monck.

Can: Del.
Lamirande.
Col: McDonyall.
Browns telm